

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,439	03/09/2004	Gary Weller	SATTY 69244	4484	
JOHN S. NAG	7590 01/28/201 Y. ESO.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE, 10TH FLOOR			EREZO, D	EREZO, DARWIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELE		3773			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,439	WELLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Darwin P. Erezo	3773		

	Darwin P. Erezo	3773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance of	Appeal. To avoid abar i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period act under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office leter may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owabie ir submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attache	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Darwin P. Erezo/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3773	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

The applicant argued that Carter falls totle teach a first and second acquisition members, wherein tissues are adhered within each of the acquisition members, and compressed therefrom. However, as stated in the Office action mailed on 11/90/90, Carter discloses an embodiment shown in Fig. 9A and 9B, wherein arms 52 are viewed as the "first and second acquisition members", wherein each of the arms have multiple U-shaped channels for receiving issues therein (the arms are not a flat solid structure). The roughened surface of the arms allows for the step of adhering the tissue, while the movement of arms 52 to the closed position, as shown in Fig. 9B, provides the compression step.